ER POCKET LIBRARY

3

on Trotsky on

e Kirov

sassination



25c

PIONEER PUBLISHERS



Leon Trotsky on The Kirov Assassination

PIONEER



116 University Place

New York 3, N. Y.

INTRODUCTION

We are republishing this pamphlet, written by Trotsky at the end of 1934. It has long been out of print and was so rare that it had become a collector's item.

The subject matter treated is not only of great historic value but has become topical following the Twentieth Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union held in February, 1956. At the Congress Stalin's successors repudiated twenty years of his rule and have since been revealing his crimes, one after another.

Although they now reveal these crimes, Stalin's heirs fail to explain his rise to power or his bloody regime. By implication they attribute his crimes to a paranoic reaction to the Kirov assassination.

Kirov, one of Stalin's henchmen, became the head of the Leningrad Communist Party after the defeat of the Left Opposition, led by Trotsky. His assassin, Nicolaiev, was an obscure employee in the Soviet apparatus. What motivated Nicolaiev in his act has not been revealed by the Kremlin to this day. Stalin, however, seized on this event to intensify his terror against all opposition in the Soviet Union and rise to absolute power.

May 1, 1956

Translated by

John G. Wright

First Printing, February, 1935

The Stalinist Bureaucracy and the Assassination of Kirov

A Reply to Friends in America*

1. A Grandiose "Amalgam"

only to the execution—as an immediate repressive measure tery for several weeks. At first, the official dispatch referred organization of the party, with only a few exceptions, was dispatch itself revealed very little. The entire Leningrad opposition group of Zinoviev in Leningrad in 1926. The conclusion one naturally drew was that the assassin of Kirov arriving via Poland, Roumania, and other border states. The the first time that Nicolaiev had previously belonged to the ization. On December 17, a dispatch was issued stating for belonged to the same counter-revolutionary terrorist organtheir leader at the head; then they repeated their capitulaat the 14th Party Congress by a delegation consisting enpart of the Zinoviev opposition in 1926 and was represented member of the Leningrad organization of the party. hardly more than the fact that Nicolaiev in 1926 was a -- had at one time taken part in the Zinoviev group implies tion that Nicolaiev-whose name reveals nothing to anyone were all reinstated into the Soviet apparatus. The information in a much more decisive and humiliating manner. They today under arrest. Subsequently they all capitulated with tirely or almost entirely of erstwhile Zinovievists who are of some scores of terrorists from among White émigrés The assassination of Kirov has remained a complete mys-

It was clear, however, that this information relating to the "Zinoviev group" was not issued by accident; it could imply nothing else but the preparation of a jural "amalgam", that is to say, a consciously false attempt to implicate in the assassination of Kirov individuals and groups who did not and could not have anything in common with the terrorist act. This is no new method. Let us recall that as carly as 1927 the G.P.U. sent one of its official agents

^{*}A group of friends cabled to Comrade Trotsky requesting him to give his opinion on the Kirov assassination. The article we are publishing herewith is Trotsky's answer to this request.—Eds.

employed, only on an infinitely larger scale. ern press. At the present time the same procedure is being nalists immediately transmitted this amalgam to the Westwho had formerly fought in the Wrangel army to a young man, unknown to everybody, who was distributing the docu-G.P.U. agent, but with a "Wrangel officer". Hired jourentire Opposition of maintaining relations . . . not with the ments of the Opposition. And then the G.P.U. accused the

members who according to the T.A.S.S. were arrested in Moscow, in connection with the Nicolaiev affair: ministrative repression. Let us enumerate the fifteen party the Commissariat of Internal Affairs for the purpose of adthem over to justice", wherefore they were handed over to seven of the arrested there are not "sufficient facts to hand The T.A.S.S. even here states, it is true, that concerning were arrested in Moscow in connection with this same affair. fifteen members of the old "anti-Soviet" group of Zinoviev character. Aside from the unknown individuals brought to gam by transmitting facts of a particularly sensational justice in Leningrad for the act of the terrorist Nicolaiev, the Soviet Union] opened wide the parenthesis of the amal-On December 27, the T.A.S.S. [Telegraphic Agency of

exile; former member of the Central Committee and the Political Bureau; former Chairman of the Communist International and of the Leningrad Soviet; Zinoviev-Lenin's collaborator for many years in

and of the Moscow Soviet: these two men together with Stalin composed the governing "troika" (triumvirate) during 1923-25; years; former member of the Central Committee and the Political Bureau, Vice-Chairman of the Council of People's Commissars, Chairman of the Council of Labor and Defense Kamenev-Lenin's collaborator in exile for many

the Leningrad Committee, Chairman of the first Central mer member of the Central Committee, former secretary of Zalutsky, one of the oldest worker-Bolsheviks, for-

Commission for the purging of the party;

tion Bureau, one of the leaders of the Leningrad Soviet; former member of the Central Committee and the Organiza-Yevdokimov, one of the oldest worker-Bolsheviks,

> mer member of the Central Committee, Chairman of the Workers' Section of the Soviet during the October revolu-Feodorov, one of the oldest worker-Bolsheviks, for-

Central Committee, editor-in-chief of the Leningrad Pravda; rived with Lenin in the "sealed" train, former member of the Safarov, one of the oldest members of the party, ar-

member of the Central Committee and the Leningrad Com-7. Kuklin, one of the oldest worker-Bolsheviks, former

standing participants in the civil war; member of the Central Control Commission, one of the out-Bakaiev, one of the oldest worker-Bolsheviks, former

their aim the seizure of power, beginning with Leningrad, "with the secret intention of reestablishing the capitalist regime". Subsequent dispatches which have appeared in according to explanations given by Pravda, they had as cated, no more, no less, in the assassination of Kirov and, civil war, who occupied the most responsible posts in the tants during the period of illegality, participants in the Guertik, and Kostina-all of them old party members, miliparty and the Soviets-these fifteen individuals are impliseveral more individuals of the same importance in the party the Soviet press added to the fifteen arrested "Zinovievists" Sharov, Faivilovich, Vardin, Gorchenin, Boulak,

and the former Chairman of the Political Bureau, Kamenev, mer Chairman of the Communist International, Zinoviev, party, at the head of which there were to be found the forcomes the terrorist agent of an internal opposition in the in terrorists by way of Poland and Rumania. Nicolaicv bethe organization of White Guard émigrés who are sending laiev was presented to the reading public as connected with clearly to be seen why we have called the dispatch of the both of them Stalin's colleagues in the "troika". It is T.A.S.S. a colossal sensation. We can now also call it a Thus collapsed the first version according to which Nico-

2. Are Zinoviev and Kamenev Terrorists?

There is not the slightest reason or motive for us to

tulated for the second time. secret machinations; they were again expelled-they capiparty, they substituted for principled opposition, sniping, usurping bureaucracy, they capitulated; reinstated to the ism"; frightened by the difficulties of the struggle against the and falsehoods utilized in the struggle against "Trotskying taken fright at their own handiwork, they joined the with their own efforts and under their own leadership; havsubsequently driven against the bureaucratic wall raised ternationalism under the name of "Trotskyism"; they were Left Opposition for a brief period and revealed the frauds faction which inaugurated the struggle against Marxist in-Kamenev and their friends. They were at the head of that defend the policies or the personal reputations of Zinoviev,

of waging a struggle, they found themselves, in the end, cruelly punished. It is not our task to defend them! generally lost esteem and confidence, and even the possibility been corrupted and strangled by the apparatus. Having themselves, hoping to gain a place in the party which had They disavowed the banner of Marxism and camouflaged

deciding to assassinate some right-hand man of Green, with for a moment the left wing opposition in the trade unions easily understand how insane is such an idea if they imagine nation of Kirov, who, besides, played no independent role, could lead them to power. The American workers may more larly, they could not have possibly thought that the assassitually aspired to "reestablish the capitalist regime". Simifor a single moment the absurdity that they might have acterror for changing the social regime, even were one to admit could not suddenly turn to a belief in the utility of individual of the Bolshevik party for 25-30 and more years. teen above named Bolsheviks lived through the experiences considered them fools or ignorant buffoons. The other thir-Zinoviev and Kamenev were lacking in character; but no one deposed chiefs into scapegoats for its own transgressions. anything. Once again the bureaucracy wishes to turn its their real crimes against the revolution and the proletariat, turncoats, camouflaged individuals and careerists ready for because its own ranks consist to a large degree of abject But the Stalinist bureaucracy is not judging them for

called investigation with a tinge of "objectivity" in order The dispatch of the T.A.S.S. itself admits, at least as the jural amalgam by an administrative amalgam. declaring that for one of them there are insufficient proofs, nothing but brazen. The dispatch speaks of "lack of proof" utsky, Yevdokimov, Feodorov, Safarov and Vardin-that regards seven of those arrested-Zinoviev, Kamenev, Zalto hold in reserve the subsequent possibility for replacing the Stalinist clique seeks by this very thing to color its sotwo groups of the old Bolsheviks arrested in Moscow and by by its very essence. By making an artificial division into intentionally so false and improbable as is this accusation they really had no connection with the Nicolaiev affair. But this admission is made in such a way that one can call it -as if there could generally be any proof of an accusation

friends have had time enough to repent twice, the "griev-ances" of 1926 have long ago paled in the face of events of viev of leading posts in Leningrad is manifestly absurd. may have been the victim of vengeance for depriving Zinoas little as we knew before. The implication that Kirov laiev's crime, we now learn from the dispatch of the T.A.S.S. or not it succeeds immediately to attain its practical goal ture on a monstrous amalgam which-regardless of whether infinitely greater importance. have been very serious reasons that impelled Stalin to ven-Nicolaiev onto the road of terrorism, and that there must have been much more recent circumstances which drove Eight years have since gone by. Zinoviev himself and his -cruelly compromises the Soviet group in power As regards the real motives and circumstances of Nico-It is clear that there must

Was the Purpose to Restore Capitalism?

of the socialist society-how could it come to pass that old ances-of classes in the U.S.S.R., and the "construction" successes, after the "abolition" -according to official assurcome to pass that at a time like this, after all the economic minds of all thinking workers is the following: How could it Bolsheviks, the most intimate collaborators of Lenin, those The first question which must inevitably arise in the

of advantages? both for themselves and their descendants? And what sort trary, do they expect from capitalism personal advantages socialist régime is no boon to the masses? Or on the concould have posed for their task the restoration of capitalism? Do Zinoviev, Kamenev and the others consider that the who shared power with Stalin, members of the "Old Guard"

specified-restoration of capitalism; and as regards the means-terrorist acts. fraudulent from top to bottom: both as regards the goal tion concocted by Stalin against the Zinoviev group is ly, there cannot be the slightest doubt here that the accusaary generation, themselves of course included. Consequentfirst of all signify the total extermination of the revolutionbut understand that the restoration of capitalism would tions. Zinoviev and Kamenev are no fools. They cannot and that of Hitler would appear like philanthropic instituonly be taken by a distinctly Russian Fascism, so ferocious that in comparison to it the ferocity of the Mussolini régime In the event of the overthrow of the Soviets their place could many victims as the October revolution and the civil war. revolutionary coup diétat which would cost ten times as erated in Russia except as the result of a savage counterif it were possible in general, capitalism could not be regenrégime of bourgeois democracy. As a matter of fact, even lished in the U.S.S.R. by peaceful methods and lead to the the means of production, including the land, can be reestabcapitalist relations, that is to say, the private ownership of Only utter imbeciles would be capable of thinking that

4. Nicolaiev's Crime Is No Accidental Event

at placing all types of opposition, discontent and criticism crime as an isolated, and accidental phenomenon, as a tragic euver is quite evident: to terrorize completely all critics and on the same plane with terrorist acts. The goal of the manconstructing an amalgam which compromises itself, nor even episode: on the contrary, it is investing this act with a cratic group is not at all inclined to estimate Nicolaiev's political importance so exceptional that it does not stop at In any case, the fact remains that the leading bureau-

> nor by depriving them of their daily bread, nor even by imist act of Nicolaice, Stalin replies by redoubling the terror prisonment or exile, but by the firing squad. To the terroroppositionists, and this time not by expulsion from the party

against the party.

monstrous machinations in order to maintain its equilibrium? themselves with the greatest anxiety the following question: on the part of the exploiting classes - and this is correctthe proletariat has for its task the crushing of the resistance time and again but to which we have never received the sem-This question leads us to a second one which we have posed tion that the leading stratum is compelled to resort to such Is it possible that the Soviet power is in so difficult a postship. Why isn't this so? Why is there to be observed a economic successes of the new society, must necessarily lead much more so, their "liquidation" concurrently with the then the weakening of the former ruling classes, and, so blance of a reply. If it is correct that the dictatorship of process of a directly opposite character? Why have we seen to the mitigation and the withering away of the dictatorgrowth of the omnipotence of the bureaucracy which has led during the period of the two Five Year Plans the monstrous submission and humiliation? the party, the Soviets and the trade unions to complete The thinking workers of the entire world should ask

of the Soviets grows manifestly worse, that the ever increasthe political regime, one would have to say that the position of the internal contradictions which sooner or later must ing pressure of bureaucratic absolutism expresses the growth system. Such a conclusion would be, however, one-sided and lead to an explosion with danger of the downfall of the whole consequently, incorrect. If one were to judge solely on the basis of the party and

Socialism Has Not Yet Been Built; the Roots of the Classes Have Not Yet Been Extirpated

above all reject the official theory, according to which a S.R. In fact, why was it necessary for the bureaucracy to classless socialist society is already established in the U.S. If we want to understand what is occurring, we must sate for the deficiency in economic power. role of controller, judge and executioner is assumed by the bureaucracy. It uses administrative pressure to compenappropriation, evasion of laws, cheating of the state, favorbound up with a bitter struggle of each against all, illegal The satisfaction of the essential elementary needs is always would still find itself at the tail-end of capitalist countries. Union, despite the technological successes it has achieved, conditions as will kill the stimulus for individual accumulameans the guaranteeing to all members of society such living the national income which goes for consumption, the Soviet pute the national income per capita, especially that part of tion. We are still very far from that. Were one to comthe trusts as well. "Abolition of classes", in a socialist sense, can result in overthrowing the Kolkhozes, and after them transform itself into primitive capitalist accumulation, and accumulation is permitted to exceed certain limits, it will its immediate goal; but if no vigilance is exercised, if the true that essentially this accumulation has consumption for with the collectivist tendencies of the economic life. retains a mass character and comes into continual collision individual appropriation and for the accumulation of goods tion has not yet emerged from actual want, the urge for cally. So long as the overwhelming majority of the populasuffice, it still remains necessary to overcome them economi-"abolition" of classes by administrative decree does not have complete power? Against whom? In reality, the

It is infantile to think that the omnipotence of the Soviet bureaucracy was necessitated by the struggle with the "remnants" of the exploiting classes in the socialist society. Indeed, the historical justification for the very existence of the bureaucracy is lodged in the fact that we are still very ent transitional society is full of contradictions, which in the sphere of consumption, the most immediate and vital sphere for everyone, bears a character of extreme tension, and always threatens to cause an explosion in the sphere of production. The collectivization of peasant economy has tapped new and colossal sources of power for the bureau-

cracy. It is precisely in rural economy that questions of consumption are bound up most intimately with questions of production. That is why the collectivization has led, in the village, to the need of guarding by the severest methods of repression the property of the collectives against the peasants themselves.

This entire intense struggle has not a clear-cut and open class character. But potentially, as regards the possibilities and dangers latent in it, it is a class struggle. The régime of the dictatorship is therefore not only the heritage of previous class struggles (with the feudal landlords and the capitalists), as the Stalinists would have it, a struggle which has been basically consummated, but also it is the instrument for preventing a new class struggle which is looming from out of the fierce competition between the interests involved in the sphere of consumption, on the basis of a still lagging and unharmonious economy. In this and in this alone rests the historical justification for the existence of the present Soviet dictatorship.

6. The Dual Role of the Bureaucracy

The Soviet bureaucracy, however, in the interests of its own domination and welfare, ruthlessly exploits its role of controller and regulator of the social contradictions, and its function of waging a preventive struggle against the regeneration of classes. It concentrates in its own hands not only the entire power but it consumes by hook and crook an enormous share of the national income. In this way it has succeeded in removing itself so far away from the masses of the population as to make it impossible any longer to permit any control whatever over its actions and its income.

Certain observers and superficial critics have declared the Soviet bureaucracy to be a new ruling class. The falsity of this definition from the Marxist standpoint has been amply clarified by us.* A ruling economic class presupposes a system of production and of property which is peculiarly its own. The Soviet bureaucracy is but a reflection of the transitional stage between two systems of production and

^{*}Cf. Leon Trotsky, "The Soviet Union and the Fourth International," Pioneer Publishers.

of property, between the capitalist system and the socialist There can be no question of an independent devel-

opment of this transitional regime.

permanent political crisis. The Stalinist faction is comdiction with the fundamental needs of Soviet economy and the dictatorship of the proletariat, translates itself into a bureaucracy, although it remains a distorted expression of culture. Under these conditions, the dictatorship of the stricken existence. Thus the singular position of the bumajority of the population continues to lead a povertyachievements keep lagging far behind, and the overwhelming and the greatest technological successes, the economic to an increasingly more profound and irreconcilable contrareaucracy, which is the result of definite social causes, leads that despite the titanic expenditure of energy by the masses cates itself from difficulties arising from its mistakes by loading their consequences onto the shoulders of the toilers. is creeping onward and which expresses itself in the fact The partial crises converge towards the general crisis which tion of contradictions and crises. The bureaucracy extrinomy of 170 million people implies the inevitable accumulafixing the responsibility and of recalling the bureaucracy from top to bottom. Unrestricted domination over the ecoessary freedom to criticize the plans, and the opportunity of toiling population in the elaboration of the plans, the necbe achieved only with the active participation of the entire balance between national accumulation and consumption can the various branches of production and, above all, a correct tasks of the economic leadership. An equilibrium between the sphere of state planning complicate extraordinarily the The growth of industry and the drawing of agriculture into traits which are increasingly paralyzing its progressive work. and its spirit of caste privilege. But it is precisely these eyes to its national conservatism, its appropriative instincts tariat supports the Soviet bureaucracy without closing their nies at home and abroad. This work remains historically necessary and progressive. In this work the world proleregime created by the October revolution against the ene-Its own interests constrain it to safeguard the new economic The role of the Soviet bureaucracy remains a dual one.

> leader. This demoralizing slavish philosophy of the bureaucracy is carried by the agents of the C. I. into its foreign sections. of the infallibility of a life-time leader. The sole virtue of a revolutionist to be recognized hereafter is fidelity to the raises itself above the party and even above the bureaucracy and more envenomed. At the same time this very faction ods and to place in circulation amalgams which become more old and new oppositions, to resort to ever more violent methpelled ever anew to destroy "completely" the "remnants" of

7. The Two Series of Difficulties

diseases of bureaucratism. These are the fundamental diffinant position of the bureaucracy and the personal rule of resents a danger not to the Soviet regime but to the domiother series of difficulties has a derivative character and repculties from which the entire Soviet organism suffers. The dictions of the transitional period, aggravated by the two series of difficulties, one of which flows from the contrato the present stage, we must sharply differentiate between Thus, we see that in the evolution of the Soviet Union up

development of capitalist relations only to come subsequently into conflict with the needs of bourgeois society. and the cultural progress of the population which were determined by the October revolution turn more and more ticularly painful manner the yoke of "enlightened absolutreaucracy. The young generation begins to sense in a parattitude of the people automatically turn against the buincreasingly exacting demands and the increasingly critical too dearly. The progress in technology and culture, the classes in the past. The Czarist bureaucracy aided in the observed in the history of the development of various ruling and bureaucratic rapacity. Analogous processes are to be against bureaucratic conservatism, bureaucratic license, opposed to one another, and the degree of their opposition is in a process of continual growth. The economic successes The domination of the Soviet bureaucracy costs the country but are not at all identical. They are in a large measure These two series of difficulties are of course interrelated,

ism" which, besides, increasingly reveals the incapacity of its "shining lights". Thus conditions are created which clearly menace the rule of the bureaucracy which has outlived itself.

8. Individual Terrorism, a Product of Bureaucratic Decay

under their feet. group if the Stalinists did not feel the ground slipping from ruling group would never have consented to connecting the of those acts of shameful panic by the bureaucracy. The cent as it is depicted by those false "Friends" who are not of the world proletariat? We can now reply with a feeling terrorist crime of Nicolaiev with the Zinoviev-Kamenev is far from being so bad as might be concluded on the basis the Soviet Union at the first sign of serious danger. But it disinterested and who-let us keep it in mind-will betray the position of the Soviets is neither so rosy nor so magnificy, which is growing worse within the Soviets. Obviously the Soviets themselves but of the position of the bureaucraof relief that it is a question not of the difficult position of inal amalgams which profoundly compromise it in the eyes The foregoing enables us to reply to the question we posed at the beginning of the article. Is it possible that the is forced to resort to machinations, dirty tricks and crimsituation in the Soviets is so bad that the governing group

Nicolaiev is depicted by the Soviet press as a participant in a terrorist organization made up of members of the party. If the dispatch is true—and we see no reason to consider it an invention, because the bureaucracy has not confessed it with an easy heart—we have before us a new fact which must be considered of great symptomatic significance. There is always the possibility that it was a chance shot fired by a man for personal reasons. But a terrorist act prepared beforehand and committed by order of a definite organization is, as the whole history of revolutions and counterrevolutions teaches us, inconceivable unless there exists a political atmosphere favorable to it. The hostility to the tops in power must have been widespread and must have assumed the sharpest forms in order that a terrorist group might crystallize out within the ranks of the party youth,

or more properly speaking, within its upper stratum, which is intimately connected with the lower and middle circles of the bureaucracy.

Essentially not only is this fact admitted but it is stressed in the official statements. We learn from the Soviet press that the blind hatred of the "children" was nourished by the criticism of the oppositionist fathers. The explanations of Radek and Co. sound like plagiarisms of the Czarist publicist, Katkov, who used to accuse the cowardly liberal fathers of provoking voluntarily or involuntarily the young generation to commit terrorist acts. It is true that the tops in power have this particular time chosen only the Zinoviev group from among the generation of fathers. But this is the line of least resistance for Stalin. In repressing the compromised groups Stalin wants to discipline the bureaucratic ranks which are disintegrating and which have lost their internal cohesion.

others work by inertia, negligently, they think more of their concentrated in the hands of an ever narrowing circle. The and to lose faith in itself. The function of the leadership is class which has raised it to power, it begins to decompose necessities of development, and with the consciousness of the chance for independent development, the atmosphere for respect for the official leaders. If at the same time disconundermine among their own youth the confidence in and the their own circles about the high authorities, they harbor personal affairs, they express themselves disdainfully within terroristic groupings is created. but which isolates the bureaucracy as a whole; if the youth the means of proper expression and an outlet are lacking, tent is spreading within the masses of the people, for which itself feels that it is spurned, oppressed and deprived of the liberal thoughts, and they grumble. Thus they indubitably When a bureaucracy comes into a contradiction with the

Hypothetically, but with complete verisimilitude, we can reestablish, from what has been said, the role of the Zinoviev group. What depths of infamous stupidity are reached by the statement that it might have had any direct or indirect connection with the bloody deed of Smolny, with its preparation, and its political justification! Zinoviev and Kamenev

shots at Smolny impelled him to teach the vacillating and stories about Stalin's ignorance, etc... The general secretary did not remain ignorant, indeed, of all this. Could decomposing bureaucracy a lesson? Stalin have chosen a better victim than this group when the vailing spirit, that is to say, they cracked jokes, retailed infected in their daily surroundings by the generally prewhich they, less than anyone else, could believe, they became bureaucracy with which they were joined prevented them from realizing their intentions. While in official declarations they paid their tribute to the "greatness" of Stalin in ranks. But the general condition of the lower and middle confidence of those at the top and rising again into their returned to the party with the firm intention of winning the

Individual Terrorism, a Product of Bureaucratic

take the liberty of quoting here from an article of mine published in 1911, in German, in the Austrian periodical Kampf. Needless to say, it was then a question of the capitalist write. A great deal has been written on this question. I individual terror is known to every worker able to read and The negative attitude of Marxism towards the tactic of

The classes it serves will always find new men, the mechanism remains whole and continues its work. founded upon ministers and cannot be destroyed with them. can only be of short duration; the capitalist state is not concrete political circumstances. In any case such turmoil throws the ruling circles into turmoil, depends upon the "Whether or not the terrorist act, even if 'successful',

struggle? If people in high positions can be intimidated by the noise of an explosion, what need is there then for a the goal, what need is there for the strivings of the class found. If it is enough to arm oneself with a revolver to reach the ranks of the toiling masses themselves is far more pro-"But the turmoil which the terrorist act introduces into

cialist revolution, I can add nothing today, twenty-three turism the method of preparing the proletariat for the so-To this article which counterposed to terrorist adven-

> of the Czarist government and of capitalist exploitation, reasons, even when the shots were directed against the agents vidual terrorism, obviously for political and not mystical years later. But if Marxists categorically condemned indicriminal adventurism of terrorist acts directed against the they will even more relentlessly condemn and reject the history. The subjective motivations of Nicolaiev and his bureaucratic representatives of the first workers' state in which will eventually be accomplished, it fulfills a necessary partisans are a matter of indifference to us. Hell itself is counter-revolution. unfavorable conditions, render service only to the Fascist ism of the Nicolaiev type spread, it could, aided by new, function in the defense of the workers' state. Should terrorbureaucracy has not been removed by the proletariat, a task paved with the best of intentions. So long as the Soviet

in the guise of the Zinoviev group as it existed in 1926-27. The terrorist organization of the communist youth was fosvor to link Nicolaiev with the Left Opposition, even if only by its internal corruption. tered not by the Left Opposition but by the bureaucracy, Only political fakers who bank on imbeciles would endea-

without asking their participation. The Stalinist bureau cracy has created a vile leader-cult, attributing to leaders and endeavors to substitute itself for the masses. Terrorism yesterday. Bureaucratism has no confidence in the masses, rorism, only with a minus sign. The Nicolaievs imagine that divine qualities. "Hero" worship is also the religion of terworks in the same manner; it seeks to make the masses happy turned inside out. For Marxists this law was not discovered same flesh and blood as the Stalinist bureaucracy. munist terrorists, as an ideological grouping, are of the a revolver in order for history to take another course. Comall that is necessary is to remove a few leaders by means of Individual terrorism is in its very essence bureaucratism

Bureaucratic Centrism, the Cause of the Collapse of the C.I.

By dealing this blow to the Zinoviev group Stalin, as we said, aimed at consolidating the ranks of the bureaucracy. But that is only one aspect of the matter. There is an

sary to consider even though briefly the international new stage of the struggle against "Trotskyism" it is neces-In order to understand the goal and the direction of this group as a footstool, Stalin is aiming to strike a blow at Trotskyism. And cost what it may, he must strike that blow.

Austria and Spain during events of world-wide scope and policies of the C. I. in China, India, England, Germany, article which makes an attempt to draw the balance of the their own history. They have not a single book, nor a single Stalinists. Generally speaking, they do not care to learn study of this history in a series of writings. To our analyof the C. I. during the last eleven years. We have made a ginning to end. Irrefutable evidence of this is the history plays a disorganizing, demoralizing and fatal role from beremains of this dualism; here the Stalmist bureaucracy international working class movement, where not a trace tivity of the masses. It is otherwise in the sphere of the of economic and cultural life by repressing the creative acthe other hand, it disorganizes and checks the development tects the workers' state with its own peculiar methods; on has already been said, is a dual one: on the one hand, it pro-As regards the U.S.S.R., the role of the bureaucracy, as

dared during the past seven years to convoke a single world the atomization of its organization. Finally, why has it not known nothing save shameful defeats, political disgrace, and tionary situations, the C. I., during the last 11 years, has No attempt has been made to explain why, under condi-

great theory of "social-fascisin"? and so forth . . . and so tional liberation" for Germany? What was the fate of the "Third Period?"What has become of the program of "na-Peasants' International? What about the theory of the Russian Committee? What has become of the celebrated parties" in the Orient? What were the fruits of the Anglo-What is the balance sheet of the "workers' and peasants"

> stratum. Bureaucratic Centrism brought the Comintern to collapse. The Third International, like the Second, is doomed. No force can any longer save it. cal qualities of the Stalinist bureaucracy as the leading causes. These causes are lodged in the social and ideologiof individual or fortuitous causes, but results from general chain of mistakes, confusion and crimes cannot be the result and fatal opportunist convulsion. It is obvious that such a ended in an inevitable catastrophe. The chain of these most recent zigzag, particularly in France, is a deplorable catastrophes makes up the history of the Stalinist C. I. Its zigzag in the policies of the C. I., each of these zigzags has on Each of these questions is bound up with a definite

abroad, Lenin as early as 1921 warned Zinoviev and Buand Austria. As for the leaders of the communist parties world proletariat, especially after the recent events in Spain class, and by the incapacity of the Western leaders. There the "non-revolutionary character" of the Western working ossal success. As a necessary corollary to this, the political past eleven years the selection of "dolts" has attained a colimbeciles". Lenin liked to call a spade a spade. During the the C.I. von will surround yourselves exclusively with "docile kharin by letter: If you demand nothing but approbation in is no need whatever to give the lie to this calumny of the Moscow bureaucrats explain the bankruptcy of the C. I. by Stalin's refusal to convoke the world congress. Why bother? the C. I. a long time ago. A most obvious proof of this is level of the leadership has fallen below zero. Nothing will come of it anyhow. Among themselves the Fundamentally, the Stalinist ruling group has given up

The World-Wide Growth of Genuine Leninism Is a Dreadful Danger to Stalin

abroad to conduct "realistic" policies which would succeed in destroying in a very short period of time whatever still tions. Command has been issued to the communist parties revolution it has swapped for hopes in the League of Nain one country. The hopes based on the world proletarian the C. I. as a nonentity, by means of the theory of socialism As already stated, the Kremlin has reconciled itself to

But it is impossible for him to become reconciled to all this. generation of the world revolutionary movement under an independent banner. Criticism of reformism may be remay be poisoned with the venom of nationalism and pacifism; ing freely and critically the ideas of Leninism through its Protskyism in the broad light of day.

are at the same time isolating the sections of the C. I. from indignation in the very ranks of the communist parties and now in the rags of the C. I., are provoking ever increasing ists, without honor or conscience, continue to repeat even world proletariat. The vile calumnies, which paid journalscious, active, and devoted elements of the vanguard of the ly wider scale, and with increasing clarity by the most confiring squad, are now becoming recognized on an increasingmeet with the penalties of imprisonment, exile, and even the as "counter-revolutionary Trotskyism", within the U.S.S.R., national arena. Those very ideas of Marx and Lenin which, already drawn near, arriving from without, from the interthe party. But danger is approaching stealthily, it has originated the monstrous phenomenon of terrorism within Precisely out of this stifled condition of the party there has and since those who know the truth are reduced to silence. deprived of the possibility of verifying things for themselves, Within the U.S.S.R. the bureaucracy has succeeded in establishing this version, to the extent that the masses are solved once for all, it is trying once again to find, by means of the Zinoviev group, the culprit in the guise of Trotsky-ism, which is—as is very well known—the vanguard of the bourgeois counter-revolution, the ally of Fascism, and so on. portant political questions which it used to consider as of "Trotskyism". The starting point for each new zigzag was Trotskyism. And now that the terrorist blow of Nicohas been formed via the ever increasingly hostile repulsion Since 1923, the entire ideology of the Soviet bureaucracy

broad strata of the workers.

of the former Communist League of America in the Minneaa mystery to the workers in the U.S.S.R. It is possible to class movement of Europe and America cannot long remain cow. But another danger exists which is beginning to weigh say, the calumniators and forgers. The Stalinists are blind been uninterruptedly rising since Lenin's illness and death, on a broader sweep and the revolutionary Marxists, the silence about the merger of the League with the American polis strike; it is possible although difficult to maintain keep quiet, even if this is not easy, about the participation fluence of the unfalsified ideas of Leninism in the working like a nightmare on the Stalinist faction. The growing inmenace their prestige, their interests, and their bureaucratic ment, but they have a very keen nose for the dangers which and deaf to the perspectives of the world proletarian movewill crumble upon the very heads of the engineers, that is to obvious. The entire structure of lies, calumnies, persecudanger which flows from this for the Stalinist faction is be possible to keep quiet about these facts. The enormous Leninists, will take a leading part therein, it will no longer Workers Party; but when the confluence of events will take tions, falsifications and amalgams—the structure which has This prospect, let us repeat, no longer frightens Mos-

12. The Inevitability of New Amalgams Had Been Foretold

In my isolation, following in the press the gradual successes, slow but sure, of the ideas of genuine Leninism in America and Europe, I often remarked to friends that the moment is approaching when the principled "quality" of this international current will begin to transform itself into a mass "quantity"; this moment will respond in the ears of the Stahinists like a signal of mortal danger. It is one thing to crush the revolutionary Marxist grouping by the sheer weight of the bureaucratic apparatus during a period of revolutionary ebb, fatigue, disillusion and disintegration of the masses; it is another thing to free the world proletarian vanguard from the Stalinist quack-substitute for Bolshevism by the force of Marxist criticism. But that is precisely why

once in conversations and letters—the Stalinist tops cannot to "their measures"; certainly not measures of an ideological character; for here their impotence is so obvious that to the world workers' movement. "His" measures, for Stalin within these last few years has, generally speaking, to the world workers' movement. "His" measures, for Stalin, ingly monstrous kind, and finally an alliance with bourgeois of services.

of the Temp's which I received two or three days later connot necessary to be a prophet. The issue of December 25 fore." To be able to make such a prediction, it was really this plane, tomorrow they will bring Trotskyism to the rents in the case) I said, "The matter will not rest long on sary for the understanding of the psychological undercur-(I apologize for these personal details but they are necesfollowing. At that moment, in a conversation with a friend, campaign against Zinoviev and Kamenev was not long in in 1926, there was no further room for doubt. was said to have been a member of the Leningrad Opposition probable". Nevertheless, the "improbable" has come to that the amalgam, although possible, was "somewhat im-It is true that the I. S. itself made a reservation in the sense Is there perchance being prepared a colossal amalgam of circular letter of the International Secretariat is dated December 10 and has undoubtedly circulated the world over. I. S. suggested in the postscript the following possibility: tenor of the first communications from the Kremlin, the methods of the inquest, and to the extremely ambiguous Internationalist Communists. Referring to the protracted issued by the International Secretariat of the League of the circular letter devoted to the bloody deed of Smolny, a White Guard crime, one of my friends sent me from Geneva the whole world was still convinced that it was a matter of When the first dispatch appeared in which Nicolaiev Already, immediately after Kirov's assassination, when

tained in a telegraphic dispatch from Moscow the following item: "We must point out... that as the days go by, Trotsky's name is being mentioned more and more often alongside of Zinoviev's."* Kirov's corpse and the Zinoviev group thus become preparatory steps for a much wider and bolder scheme: to deal a blow at international Leninism.

made mention of a "terrorist group of-Trotskyists" in will proceed along the line of a campaign concerning terrorof Stalin and Yagoda, the preparation of "public opinion" Leningrad. Lackeys always run ahead of their masters. the peace and order of Europe. L'Humanité has already ist dangers on the part of the "Trotskyists" which menace up by the march of events and by the creative imagination created. Whatever the course may be which will be drummed which flow from the very soil of the situation which has been sible to exclude in advance a single one of those hypotheses daily prods on their malevolent will; that is why it is imposthe material means. The growth of international Leninism clear: the conspirators lack neither the malevolent will nor the subsequent development of events. within the most intinate circle of the conspirators (Stalin-Yagoda-Yaroslavski and Co.). That largely depends upon question has not been definitely decided, perhaps not even What must be the character of the next blow? This But one thing is

There is only one way to forestall enroute the amalgams which are in preparation: Expose the scheme in advance. The Stalinists are trying to mold the public opinion of the world police towards expulsions, extraditions, arrests, and other more decisive measures. The Leninists must prepare the public opinion of the world proletariat for these possible events. In this case, as in others, it is necessary to speak out openly what is: that is also the aim of the present article.

^{*}The Temps which is very friendly to Stalin even emphasizes that among the arrested Zinovievists there is a known "Trotskyist", Yevdokimov. As a matter of fact, Yevdokimov is one of the original members of the Zinoviev group. He never was a "Trotskyist". Naturally, this does not change matters any, but we cannot avoid pointing out that petty falsifications of this type appearing in the friendly press. They are innumerable.

and the defeats of personalities. between factions in the U.S.S.R. who sees only the victories leged leading stratum. He sees nothing in the struggle the Russian proletariat, and the rapid formation of a priviof the world proletariat, during the fatigue and apathy of faction won its hegemony during the period of the defeats ferent stages of upswing as well as decine. The Stalinist state, like the working class as a whole, passes through difcracy cannot be identified with the class. The workers' much the more so does the workers' state. But the bureauand Co. The working class needs a bureaucracy, and so were and are to be found such gentry as Gompers, Green as a working class on the grounds that at its head there justification refuse to recognize the American working class "theoretician") "recognize" or refuse to "recognize" the workers' state, depending on whether the acts of the Soviet bureaucracy please them or no. We could indeed with equal tions, these wiseacres (Treint in France is their inimitable such as are created by the conjuncture of historical condiforms and stages of the development of the workers' state ists express themselves. Instead of analyzing the concrete idealists, certain moralists, or merely ultra-left confusionas a workers' state? This is probably the way that certain are acting, can one unconditionally recognize the U.S.S.R. Given the abominable manner in which the Soviet tops

Kamenev then adhered to the Left Opposition, said, "Were Lenin alive, he would most assuredly be in a G.P.U. prison." Pare these two figures: Lenin the genius and innovator, and which knows its high upswings and its sharp declines. Durthe most consummate representative fell ill and died during a feverish preparation of the struggle against the Stalinist apparatus.

negative traits of the bureaucratic stratum. who incarnates in the most complete fashion the positive and mented its self-esteem, and consolidated it around the leader character. The initial, major successes along this road, is to say, badly, but the work itself bears a progressive of production and it is compelled to safeguard and develop which were not foreseen by the bureaucracy itself, have augthe latter, its roots are imbedded in the nationalized means of the American trade unions, but in contradistinction to Stalinist bureaucracy is hardly superior to the bureaucracy By its general level, by the character of its interests, the adopt the program of industrialization and collectivization. with no horizons, with no understanding of the historical them. It has accomplished this task bureaucratically, that dynamic forces, the bureaucracy after a stubborn resistance, found itself compelled by the logic of its own interests to It would be criminal to deny the progressive work ac-

close. The bureaucracy has exhausted the internal resources of "enlightened absolutism". Further development of economic and cultural life demands the destruction of the bureaucracy by way of the regeneration of Soviet democracy. The bureaucracy resists desperately. In the struggle against the progressive needs of the new society, it must inevitably decompose. After the bureaucracy had strangled the internal life of the bureaucracy itself. Henceforth only one thing is permissible: to glorify the "Great Leader", the "Beloved Chief". Out of this tissue of contradictions is emerging the "communist" terror against the bureaucratic tops.

The "internal" terror indicates in what a blind alley bureaucratism finds itself, but it does not at all show the way out of this impasse. No way out can be found except through the regeneration of the Bolshevik party. This problem can only be solved on an international scale. In order for the Russian workers to reject the opium of "socialism in one country" and to turn en masse toward the world socialist revolution, the world proletarian vanguard must consolidate itself around the banner of the Leninist party. The

struggle for the FOURTH INTERNATIONAL. fense of the Soviet Union is inconceivable without the upon the international working class movement. The deing and demoralizing influence of the Stalinist bureaucracy must be supplemented by the struggle against the paralyzstruggle against reformism, more intransigent than ever,

December 28, 1934.

LEON TROTSKY

The Indictment

G.P.U. there is no need to enter into a discussion with hired both the extracts and the statement originate from the from the indictment, with a statement by one Duclos. As After the inevitable day's delay, I received the Paris It will suffice for us to disclose the plans of their

allow that the consul in question does actually exist in the us allow that the evidence is authentic. Let us moreover memory in the course of twenty days in order to extract from him such precious evidence! But let us skip that. Let annining magistrate was compelled to assist the terrorist's only on the 20th day after his arrest. Manifestly, the exsubsequently return to this episode. It must also be remarked that Nicolaiev made his first avowal concerning the foreign consul and his offer to transmit a letter to Trotsky group." And that is all. Period! The indictment does not Nicolaiev adds, "He told me that he can establish contact with Trotsky, if I give him a letter to Trotsky from the foreign power. During one of Nicolaiev's visits to the consulate, the consul gave him 5,000 roubles for expenses. name of Trotsky. Nicolaiev, the murderer of Kirov, wasthere emerges suddenly suddenly for naive people—the In other words: the initial amalgam fell apart into dust. However, concurrently it has fulfilled its task by psychologically preparing for another amalgam: in the indictment mention the Zinoviev-Kamenev group by so much as a word. Just as one could have expected, the indictment doesn't

> any indiscretion within that sphere over which it has been naive people. The G.P.U. could not permit the prosecutor compelled to draw the curtain of silence. questions we get no answer. Is that surprising? Only to of the relations between the terrorists and Trotsky. Were consul unknown to anybody are of interest but the question transmitted? Was a reply received? To these unavoidable at all interested in this fact? For, not the exploits of a neither the examining magistrate nor the prosecutor became this score that we cannot gather a single word from the inobtain this letter? One should imagine that this question there such relations or no? Was the letter written and dictment as it is printed in l'Humanité. Is it conceivable that would be of paramount importance. But it is precisely on post! The "consul" requires a tiny document, a letter from light. The "consul" is wide-awake! The "consul" is at his sonality of the "consul" at once stands revealed in glaring astounding piece of evidence made by Nicolaiev. The perthe terrorists financed by him to-Trotsky. Did the consul dressed to Trotsky. This is the sole deposition-a truly ist act which was being prepared, he requested a letter adwhile giving Nicolaiev 5,000 roubles on the eve of the terror-The consul himself was the one to assume the initiative and ist group? No, the indictment does not dare say this either. with Trotsky? No, even the G.P.U. does not dare to assert it, perhaps, because the terrorist group was seeking contact this. Perhaps Trotsky was seeking contact with the terrorrorist group (there have been such instances in history). flesh. Let us allow that he established contact with a ter-But how and why does my name suddenly appear here? Is

adventurism of individual terror. However, an admission that the terrorists could not have the slightest reason for lishing House) is my irreconcilable attitude towards the articles in my Collected Works, published by the State Pubyears of revolutionary and literary activity (see several about Trotsky-and they couldn't but know-it was no secret to them that running like a red thread through my 37 never written, because if the terrorists knew anything at all One need not doubt for a moment that the letter was

this very fact that the consul is a second cousin to the archives of the G.P.U. as a weapon unsuitable for its purtrary to all likelihood-would have to simply remain in the flasco. The letter-if it had been written, we repeat, conit is true, were on a smaller scale, ended in an inevitable an enterprise: the previous attempts at provocation, which the consul himself, understood only too well the risk of such if without any reference to terror. But his inspirers, if not encouraging answer for the Leningrad "supporters", even to Trotsky and . . . to receive from him some sort of an temptation would have been great to transmit such a letter so interested him. But what happened to it? Of course the vocateur did actually succeed in obtaining the letter which tarily an entirely improbable supposition; the eloquent pro-Best keep quiet about it. Let us, nevertheless, make momenmount to the immediate bungling of the entire amalgam. respond to the kind offer of the "consul" would be tantaseeking contact with Trotsky, and for this reason did not But this cannot be said aloud without confessing by

Is it possible, however, to conceive of a consul in a role knowing whether a real or a fake consul is here concerned; the resources for fraud in the given instance are illimitable, saints. Some of them engage in smuggling, illicit deals in the G.P.U. of course). Such a compronised consul may be offered not only forgiveness for his sins but also some entirely legal coin in addition, should he be so obliging as to exist consuls, customs, currencies, intermediaries male and ru

The version we have adduced which unfailingly flows from the indictment itself, if one is able to read it, presuptum of an actual or fake consul, was financing Nicolaiev and

much as possible of the shattered amalgam. The mysterious shot at Kirov. After this, the organizers of the surveillance ing the political blast against Trotsky, Nicolaiev fired the amaigam much too complex, as the subsequent course of same time of attempting to compromise the political oppomany persons as possible involved in the matter, and at the trumped-up consuls for the double purpose of capturing as they established contacts with him through the medium of was in préparation; they kept Nicolaiev under surveillance, agents of the G.P.U. knew about the terrorist act which son of its Leningrad agents, premeditated the murder of pened. We do not mean to say that the G.P.U., in the perwhat variant to choose in order to explain what had hapfor a protracted period, faced with the obvious difficulty of tion, and the investigation subsequently kept marking time was attempting to link him up with Trotsky.* This version and the provocation were thrown headlong from their posts events proved: before the "consul" had succeeded in preparnents of Stalin by means of a complex amalgam. Alas! an Kirov, we have no facts for such a supposition. But the that all the responsible representatives of the G.P.U. in finds its indirect but very actual confirmation in the fact delay in the investigation thus finds an entirely natural exto leave the "consul" in the shade, to wipe away all traces of painstakingly around the sandbars and the submarine reefs, And in writing the indictment, it became necessary to steer Leningrad were kicked out immediately after the assassina-

*Trotsky's charge that the agents of the G.P.U. were implicated in the assassination of Kirov was met with the customary torrent of howls, abuse, derision and vicious counter-charges by the pen-prostitutes of Stalin. The Daily Worker struck its usual note. Within a fortnight, however, events substantiated Trotsky's charge. The New York Times for January 24, 1935 carried the following cable dispatch from Moscow: 12 in Secret Police Sentenced in Russia. F. D. Modved, Chief of Leningrad Unit, and 11 Aides Found Negligent in Kiroff Case. The following extract from the cable will suffice: "The court found that most of these men, including M. Medved had failed to take measures to expose and end the activities of the 'counter-revolutionary terrorist Zinovieff group' in Leningrad and of the assassin of M. Kirov—Leonid Nicolalev—although they were in a position to do so.'—Trarsilator's note.

a certain supplementary sum to his modest salary would be found in his pocket for a rainy day, and there is no harm in the service of his passionately loved fatherland; moreover, liteness, he returns home as a distinguished hero who suffered his government. Out of considerations of diplomatic poexists in the flesh—runs no special risk: even if recalled by behind the scenes. Finally, the consul himself-if he really witness. Thus, the mainspring of the combination remains be named in the indictment nor consequently called as a nection: out of "considerations of diplomacy" he cannot dangerous way). The consul is serviceable in another consome very petty and god-forsaken state: that is the least ism (although the consul represented, one should imagine, the link between the terrorists, Trotsky and world imperialplanation. But why was the consul necessary? There was no getting along without the consul. The consul symbolizes

making a bloc with . . . not an agent of the G.P.U., but On this basis, Stalin publicly accused the Opposition of arniy of Wrangel (which, incidentally, was never verified). years previously the G.P.U. agent, it appears, served in the assist in spreading Opposition literature. Some six to seven its official agents to an 18 year old youth, unknown to any-body, and sympathetic to the Opposition, with an offer to implicated in relations with . . . White Guards. We were bewildered. It turned out that the G.P.U. had sent one of "Trotskyism". I shall mention only three instances. As early as 1927, hired journalists broadcasted through the entory of the behind-the-scenes struggle of Stalin against The character of the machination is easiest understood if one is in the least bit acquainted with the preceding his-

I expressed to Radek my conviction that the journalist was agent of the G.P.U. However, I wrote the letter because repeat openly. The very next morning my letter was pub-I had nothing to say to my friends abroad that I could not foreign journalist made me an offer, through Radek, to transmit secretly, if need be, a letter to my friends abroad. On the eve of my exile to Central Asia (Jan. 1928) a

> foreign countries". lished in Pravda as proof of my secret connections "with

of Cracow, published a gross forgery under the signature of Trotsky. Despite the fact that my literary works are banned on the pain of severest penalty in the U.S.S.R. (Blumkin was shot for attempting to bring in the Bulletin slavsky, and printed in the Kurjer (one should imagine at most elementary analysis proves that it was manufactured was reprinted in the Moscow Pravda-in fascimile. The of the Russian Opposition), the article from the Kurjer by the G.P.U., with the assistance of the well-known Yuroduced by Pravda. the regular advertising rates) only in order to be repro-On July 20, 1931, the yellow sheet, Kurjer Codzienny,

sembles a dozen similar triangles and differs from them only of Nicolaiev, the "consul" and Trotsky is not new. It reclear from what has been said above. The triangle composed involved. In any case, the type of this creative effort is not to cause harm, by premature revelations, to other people nations and amalgams which are more clarifying in order by being on a much bigger scale. I am compelled to leave aside a number of other combi-

It is necessary, however, to point out that the Soviet press, as is evident from the cable extracts in the very same l'Humanité, makes very circumspect use of the latest amalences concerning "the ideological inspirers". In return, gam in relation to Trotsky and does not go beyond infermurder of Kirov with almost the same assurance with which however, l'Humanité broadcasts my participation in the assassination of King Alexander and Barthou. the Matin recently wrote concerning my participation in the

and Pravda is to be explained not only by the fact that the is to exert an influence of the necessary kind on the French more obvious in Moscow than in Paris-but also because, by idiocy of the Nicolaiev-"consul"-Trotsky amalgam is much exert pressure upon the French authorities. Hence, the unworkers through the medium of the united front, and to foreign consumption, primarily for France. its very essence, this part of the amalgam is destined for The difference in the conclusions drawn by l'Humanite December 30, 1934

LEON TROTSKY

hers, in order that they may verify this forecast. ably betray the proletariat. My only counsel to workers is that they remember well the names of these shameless vilirevolutionists. In the moment of difficulty they will inevitwho have neither honor nor conscience cannot be trustworthy Ready for anything? Up to the first serious danger. People "docile imbeciles" for the Comintern. But the selection has been extended to include cynics who are ready for anything. could disintegrate the workers' movement, but not create members of parliament, and journalists-why shouldn't we "Doesn't the bourgeoisie buy the leaders of trade unions, by means of bribes. Stalin and Zinoviev replied in rebuttal, "leaders" of the labor movement in the West, particularly during the time of Lenin's illness, to corrupt the more pliant came as a result of my protest against their busy efforts flicts with the "troika" (Stalin, Zinoviev and Kamenev) the lackeys but to their masters. However, I cannot leave unmentioned here the fact that one of my first sharp conticipation in the murder of Kirov was "proved". obtain a letter to Trotsky-without drawing any concluindictment refers only to the anxiety of the "consul" to dispatches generally made no mention of me at all. viev, Kamenev and others "was not proved": The official compelled to admit openly that the participation of Zinobelievable tone of l'Humanité! The Soviet authorities were This article, as I have already said, is addressed not to The lackeys of l'Humanité write that Trotsky's par-My answer was that by means of bribes one Lenin used to warn against selecting

	d Set Set
(Type or print) Last Name First	18 A.C. A.V.
Author/Main Entry (Type or print) Law	LC#
Author/Main Entry	Date rec'd.
Protsky, Leon	1074
Title: LEON TROTSKY ON THE KIROV	SEP Year Published:
LEON TROTSKI Publisher:	1956
Dlace:	Price:
Edition. Wrps.	\$1 00
repulo.	
Special Instructions	1 Fac. 1
No. of copies: Dept. History	Cat. No. Requested by:
1 Service	/1
Dealer: Parnassus Book Service Parnassus 33	I LICENTE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
P.O. Box 33	
Parnassus P.O. Box 33 Send Yarm Quith Part Required Series Send Yarm Part Report Part Report Part Report Part Part Part Report Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Pa	

Writings by LION TROTSKY

THE REVOLUTION BETRAYED		
An analysis of social relations	cloth	\$2.50
within the Soviet Union	paper	\$1.50
STALIN'S FRAME-UP SYSTEM AND	THE HOSCOW	TOLLIC
On the roots of the Stalin Cult	I I I WOSCOM	
on the 10015 Grane oldfin Coll		\$1.00
THE FIRST FIVE YEARS OF THE	COMINTERN	
	Vol. 1	\$3.50
	Vol. 11	\$3.00
	both for	\$6.00
THE DRAFT PROGRAM OF THE CO	MMUNIST INTE	ERNATIONA
Trotsky's basic criticism of the the		
Socialism in one country	.,	\$.25 *
	F	
STALINA biography		\$3.50 *
IN DEFENSE OF THE		
IN DEFENSE OF MARXISM		
The class nature of the Soviet Union the role of the Stalinist Bureaucracy	and	
during World War 11		\$2.50
and the state of t	cloth	\$2.00
	paper	
THE SUPPRESSED TESTAMENT OF	LENIN	\$.25
LEON SEDOFFwritten on the death	***	.25
	of his son	\$.
STALINISM AND BOLSHEVISM		\$.1 5
THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION		1
THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION Copen	hagen speech	\$.15
LENIN-—an article and two speeches		. 4
- Feeding		\$.15 *
STAKE MY LIFEspeech on the Mo		
	scow Trials	\$.15 *
indicates non-Pionees publication		1

^{*} indicates non-Pioneer publication Write for a complete catalogue of publications

PIONEER PUBLISHERS

116 University Place

New York 3, N. Y.